Agreed Judgments

Thea D. Whalen

Executive Director

Texas Justice Court Training Center

© Copyright 2018. All rights reserved.

No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system without prior written permission of the Texas Justice Court Training Center, unless copying is expressly permitted by federal copyright law. Address inquiries to Permissions, Texas Justice Court Training Center, 1010 Directors Bioth, Justin, TX, 78744.

1

Topics:

- Settlement agreements
- Rule 11
- Agreed judgments

Settlement Agreements

Purpose?

Resolution of the case



3

What does one look like?

What are the contents?

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Agreement") is made and entered into between Steven Boyer, his helis, agents, alterneys, representatives and assignees ("Boyer,") Sara Feyer, her helis, agents, alterneys, representatives and assignees ("Foyer,"), and the Board of Education of East Dubuyee Unit School District No. 119, Jo Daviess County, littors, it members, (filter, enployees, insurers, agents, alterneys, assignees, successors and all other members, officers, enployees, insurers, agents, alterneys, assignees, successors and all other members, officers, enployees, insurers, agents, alterneys, assignees, successors and all other members, officers, enployees, insurers, agents, and all other members, officers, enployees, insurers, agents, and all other members, officers, exployees, insurers, agents, and assignees are assignees as a supplier assignees and assignees and assignees and assignees and assignees and assignees as a supplier assignees and assignees and assignees and assignees and assignees are assignees as a supplier assignees and assignees as a sup

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Boyer and Feyen are members of the District 119 community.

WHEREAS, on November 17, 2017, Boyer and Feyen attended an athletic competition at Warren CUSD 266. District 119 was a participant in the athletic competition. Boyer and Feyen were involved in an event at Warren CUSD 256 on November 17th which utilized resulted in each of them signify a document regarding attendance of athletic events. Boyer and Peyen have vehalta allegade dialna against District 19th is connection with the November 17th

WHEREAS, on June 5, 2018, Boyer and Feyen filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief against District 119 in the Circuit Court of the Fifteenth Judicial Circuit, Jo

WHEREAS, Boyer, Feyen, and District 119 (hereinafter collectively referred to as the Parties') desire to avoid further segentee and resche by settlement al claims at issue in the Luvevial and which are related to the subject matter of the Luvevial, as well as any and at claims, whether known or unknown, asserted or unasserted, claited in any way to the November 17, 2017 ovent at Warren CUSC 205, all without any admission of wrongoloing, responsibility, or liability, upon the terms and conditions set forth hereby.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing recitals, execution of this Agreement by each of the Particle hereto and the mutual covenants hereinstafter set forth and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged by

Section 1. Incorporation of Recital

The foregoing recitals shall be considered a part of this Agreement and shall be binding upon the Parties.

Section 2. Non-Admission of Liability

This Agreement does not contain or constitute any admission, concession, or agreement by any party concerning the emitr of any issue that has been or could have been raised in the Lawautt or in any other claim, charge, action or cause of action by Boyer or Feyen, and shall not be constitued as constituting or containing any such admission, concession, or agreement.

Δ

Rule 11

What is it?

5

'AGREEMENTS TO BE IN WRITING'

Unless otherwise provided in these rules, no agreement between attorneys or parties touching any suit pending will been forced unless it be in writing, signed and filed with the papers as part of the record, or unless it be made in open court and entered of record.

• TRCP 11

6

Does the rule even apply in Justice Courts?

7

"Application of Other Rules"

The other Rules of Civil Procedure and the Rules of Evidence do not apply except:

(1) when the judge hearing the case determines that a particular rule must be followed to ensure that the proceedings are **fair to all parties**;

Fair to ALL parties?

Who are the parties?

Does it make a difference?

9

Intended Purpose vs. Trends

if it IS being used for a settlement:

"The purpose of TRCP 11 is to avoid disputes over the terms of oral agreements made between attorneys in a pending lawsuit."

Padilla v LaFrance, 907 S.W. 2d 454, 461 (Tex.1995)

"Oral settlement agreements are unenforceable for rule 11"

Knapp Med Ctr v De La Garza, 238 S.W.3d at 768 (Tex.2007)

11

Can the court 'enforce' the rule 11 settlement agreement?

Yes ... but might not be what you think

'Enforcing' a Rule 11

- The court is confirming that the agreement was MADE
- If it was to settle the case (even if specific terms were included), the court enforcing it would mean that the agreement exists
- If the agreement exists to resolve the case under certain terms, the enforcement would be the court finds the case is settled and closed

13

Other issues if the court was to 'enforce' a payment plan or deadline?

Due process violation

No right to trial

How to make the judgment debtor pay according to the payment plan or other terms?

A new suit for breach of contract

15

"A settlement agreement is enforceable as a contract even if its terms are not incorporated into the judgment"

Compania Financiara Libano, S.A. v. Simmons, 53 S.W.3d 365 (Tex. 2004)

"If the accord is in writing, signed by the parties or their attorneys, and filed of record, it does not matter whether a party no longer agrees to it when the trial court is finally asked to enforce it. This is so because the agreement becomes a contract when executed, not when the trial court attempts to enforce it."

• Coale v. Scott, 331 S.W.3d 829 (Tex.App.-Amarillo 2001, no pet.)

17

Agreed Judgment

- Not actually a rule in TRCP
- There is an "Agreed Case" Rule 263:

Parties may submit matters in controversy to the court upon an <u>agreed</u> <u>statement of facts</u> filed with the clerk, upon which judgment shall be rendered as in other cases; and such agreed statement signed and certified by the court to be correct and the judgment rendered thereon shall constitute the record of the cause.

Agreed Judgments

both parties sign a judgment with an agreed to amount and possibly other terms

19

What is in a judgment?

A judgment must:

- clearly state the determination of the rights of the parties in the case;
- state who must pay the costs;
- be signed by the judge; and
- be dated the date of the judge's signature.

TRCP 505.1 (C)(1)-(4)

Should you sign judgments with payment plans, deadlines, etc.?

TJCTC opinion is NO

21

Why?

impression given to the parties

23

Contempt

NEVER a remedy to a party failing to pay

cannot be used to force a party follow a payment schedule

So how does a judgment creditor 'make' a judgment debtor pay?

new lawsuit for breach of contract

25

Thank you!