Exploring Nature Connection Through Research: An Overview of Current Research Projects at The Meadows Center for Water and the Environment

Rob Dussler, PhD and Miranda Wait

The Meadows Center for Water and the Environment 201 San Marcos Springs Drive | San Marcos, TX. 78666 Ph. 512.249.9200 | meadowscenter@txstate.edu



Session Outcomes

- Learn of three different innovative research studies related to environmental learning and nature connection
- Learn about incorporating mindfulness activities into environmental programming and interpretation
- Understand The Meadows Center's initiatives to make data sets and research support available to other educational researchers

OUR MISSION

Inspiring research, innovation, and leadership that ensures clean, abundant water for the environment and all humanity.

OUR VISION

A world where all people understand and embrace the value of water and environmental stewardship.



The Meadows Center for Water and the Environment 201 San Marcos Springs Drive | San Marcos, TX. 78666 Ph. 512.249.9200 | <u>meadowscenter@txstate.edu</u>

OUR PILLARS

We fulfill our mission by integrating activities across four pillars of action in powerful ways. Our work in each of these pillars begins at Spring Lake – one of the largest artesian springs in the world – and ripples outward across Texas and beyond.





STEWARDSHIP Cultivating a Stewardship Ethic



LEADERSHIP Transforming Knowledge into Action



EDUCATION Encouraging Life-Long Learning



RESEARCH Conducting Solutions-Focused Research

The Meadows Center for Water and the Environment 201 San Marcos Springs Drive | San Marcos, TX. 78666 Ph. 512.249.9200 | meadowscenter@txstate.edu



Spring Lake Education Program

The Meadows Center engages more than 125,000 people each year in environmental education and outdoor learning activities at Spring Lake and elsewhere. Our programs engage people of all ages, teach them about Spring Lake and the importance of water to all living things, and inspire them to become stewards of our natural resources.

FY 2018 Attendance

Total Attendance to Spring Lake	106,027*
Public Glass-bottom Boat	57 250
	37,230
Group Tours	27,515
University Groups	6,628
Special Events	9,660
Scuba	4,050
SUP and Kayak Tours	924

*paid attendance

Field Trip Evaluations

- 100% of teachers felt this field trip helped them with their curriculum goals.
- 100% of teachers said they would come on a field trip again
- 72% of students indicated they have improved their water conservation habits.



The Meadows Center Strategic Plan

Goal 1: Strengthen The Meadows Center's research program and the infrastructure platform that supports Texas State's research community. Interpretive and Experiential STEM Education: The Meadows Center provides a living laboratory for researching the efficacy and impact of experiential educational programs and techniques.

- Work in partnerships with different departments on campus
- Support projects that will get published in a peer-reviewed journal
- Get grants to support and expand research



Spring Lake Education Programs Action Plan



Spring Lake Education Program inspires and empowers people of all ages to discover, understand, and be a steward of their natural environment and water resources through educational and recreational experiences. 1.Educational Programs2.Interpretive GuideInternship Program3.Data Hub

Children's Experience of Nature Through Maps (Maleki, Warren, Hagelman, Navarro, 2018)

Purpose: The purpose of this study was to examine K-12 school children's expressions of nature through map-making following a field trip learning experience.

Researchers: The Meadows Center and the Texas State University Geography Department

Participants: K-12 school children participating in a field trip at The Meadows Center



Research Questions

How do children represent their experiences following their field trip?

Q1: What are the most common natural and anthropogenic elements in children's maps?

Q2: What cartographic features do children use in their maps?

Q3: What are the qualitative differences in the ways children described their maps?



Texas State University IRB# 2017675

Data Collection Instrument

- Draw and write technique
- Training and Collection
 Protocol
- Draw a map of your field trip
- Write a description of your map

Teacher Name	
School Name	

Draw a map of your field trip today



Back 8.5x11

Explain what you included in your map



Data:

- A total of 765 maps
- Collected from 7/5/2017 to 12/15/2017

Analysis:

- Visual content analysis (Rose, 2016)
- Frequency analysis and chi-square statistical test of goodness-of-fit (α = 0.05)
- Content analysis of written descriptions

Coding Map Contents									
Natural Environment	Built Environment	People	Cartographic Convention						
Text	Chronological Setting	Perspective	Color						

Example of maps (Natural Environment)



Example of maps (Built Environment)



Example of maps

(Elements of Cartographic Features)



Example of maps (Elements of Cartographic Features)



Example of maps: Negative feelings



Results: Frequencies and Elements

Natural Environment	N	%	Built Environment	N	%
Non-human living					
Flora	402	52.8%	Boats	475	62.3%
Chi Square = 2.31 (<mark>P = 0.128</mark>)			Chi Square = 46.3 (P = 0.000)		
Fauna	243	31.9%	Trails	480	63.0%
Chi Square = 99.9 (P = 0.000)			Chi Square = 51.4 (P = 0.000)		
Non-living elements			Buildings	569	74.7%
Sun	43	5.6%	Chi Square = 185.5 (P = 0.000)		
Chi Square = 599.7 (P = 0.000)			Roads	145	19.0%
			Chi Square = 292.3 (P = 0.000)		
Other sky elements	18	2.4%	Vehicles	117	15.4%
Chi Square = 691.7 (P = 0.000)			Chi Square = 365.8 (P = 0.000)		
			People	93	12.2%
Water	681	89.4%	Chi Square = 435.4 (P = <u>0.000)</u>		
Chi Square = 472.4 (P = 0.000)					



Conclusions and the Future

- Anthropogenic elements seemed to be dominant (further analysis needed)
- Limited use of cartographic elements
- Written descriptions offer context to the maps

This database is made up of _____ maps, the LARGEST database of kids maps ever.

Our future goal is to have this available to outside researchers to use for their own research.



Connecting Interest in and Awareness of the Environment with an Informal Experience

The purpose of our study is to test the effect of participation in an informal learning environment on college student's interest in science.

The specific research question is: To what extent does participation in an informal science fieldtrip enhance interest in science, interest in STEM careers, and environmental awareness?



Background

- There is a need for people entering STEM careers
- Students rate colleges as reliable sources of information (Waliczek and Williamson, 2017)
- Informal learning experiences are linked to improved retention of science knowledge, positive attitudes towards science and environmental learning, and increased interest in science (Boyce, Mishra, Halverson, and Thomas, 2014)
- Underlying assumption is that people who have opportunities to participate in informal science will be more likely to develop an interest in and awareness of science and more likely to pursue a career in STEM





Methodology

- Captive audience: The Glassbottom boats are a requirement for all University Seminar students
- Collect data through an online questionnaire online at the beginning of the semester and directly after the scheduled field trip

Career Interest Questionnaire

Part 1

Instructions: Select one level of agreement for each statement to indicate how you feel. SD = Strongly Disagree, D = Disagree, U = Undecided, A = Agree, SA = Strongly Agree

	SD	D	U	Α	SA
1. I would like to have a career in science.	1	2	3	4	5
2. My family is interested in the science courses I take.	1	2	3	4	5
3. I would enjoy a career in science.	1	2	3	4	5
4. My family has encouraged me to study science.	1	2	3	4	5

Part 2

_		SD	D	U	Α	SA
5.	I will make it into a good college and major in an area needed for a career in science.	1	2	3	4	5)
6.	I will graduate with a college degree in a major area needed for a career in science.	1	2	3	4	5
7.	I will have a successful professional career and make substantial scientific contributions.	1	2	3	4	5)
8.	I will get a job in a science-related area.	1	2	3	4	5
9.	Some day when I tell others about my career, they will respect me for doing scientific work.	1	2	3	4	5

Part 3

		SD	D	U	Α	SA
10.	A career in science would enable me to work with others in meaningful ways.	1	2)	3	4	5
11.	Scientists make a meaningful difference in the world.	1	2	3	4	5
12.	Having a career in science would be challenging.	1	2	3	4	5)

STEM Semantics Survey

To me, SCIENCE is:

1.	fascinating	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	mundane
2.	appealing	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	unappealing
3.	exciting	1	(2)	3	(4)	(5)	6	(7)	unexciting
4.	means nothing	1	2)	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	means a lot
5.	boring	1	2)	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	interesting

To me, MATH is:

1.	boring	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	interesting
2.	appealing	1	2	3	4	5	6	$\overline{\mathcal{O}}$	unappealing
3.	fascinating	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	mundane
4.	exciting	1	2	3	(4)	(5)	6	(7)	unexciting
5.	means nothing	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	means a lot

To me, ENGINEERING is:

1.	appealing	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	unappealing
2.	fascinating	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	mundane
3.	means nothing	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	means a lot
4.	exciting	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	unexciting
5.	boring	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	interesting

To me, TECHNOLOGY is:

1.	appealing	1	2	3	4	5	6	6	unappealing
2.	means nothing	1	2)	3	4	5	6	6	means a lot
3.	boring	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	interesting
4.	exciting	1	2)	3	4	5	6	7	unexciting
5.	fascinating	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	mundane

To me, a CAREER in science, technology, engineering, or mathematics (is):

1.	means nothing	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	means a lot
2.	boring	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	interesting
3.	exciting	1	2	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	unexciting
4.	fascinating	1	2)	3	4	5	6	\overline{O}	mundane
5.	appealing	1	(2)	3	(4)	(5)	6	(7)	unappealing

ROSE-D

		Disagree		Agree	
1.	Threats to the environment are not my business	. 🗆			
2.	Environmental problems make the future of the world look				
	bleak and hopeless	. 🗆			
3.	Environmental problems are exaggerated	. 🗆			
4.	Science and technology can solve all environmental problems	. 🗆			
5.	I am willing to have environmental problems solved even if				
	this means sacrificing many goods	. 🗆			
6.	I can personally influence what happens with the environment .	. 🗆			
7.	We can still find solutions to our environmental problems	. 🗆			
8.	People worry too much about environmental problems	. 🗆			
9.	Environmental problems can be solved without				
	big changes in our way of living	. 🗆			
10.	People should care more about protection of the environment	. 🗆			
11.	It is the responsibility of the rich countries to solve				
	the environmental problems of the world	. 🗆			
12.	I think each of us can make a significant contribution to				
	environmental protection	. 🗆			
13.	Environmental problems should be left to the experts	. 🗆			
14.	I am optimistic about the future	. 🗆			
15.	Animals should have the same right to life as people	. 🗆			
16.	It is right to use animals in medical experiments if this				
	can save human lives	. 🗆			
17.	Nearly all human activity is damaging for the environment	. 🗆			
18.	The natural world is sacred and should be left in peace	. 🗆			





Mindlessness

An inactive state of mind characterized by the reliance on, categories drawn from the past:

- The past over-determines the present
- Trapped inside a single perspective
- Insensitive to context
- Rule and routine governed



Simons and Chabris (1999)





Mindfulness

An active state of mind characterized by drawing novel distinctions that result in:

- Being situated in the present
- Sensitive to context and perspective
- Rule and routine guided
- Phenomenological experience of engagement

Mindfulness Studies

- Even animals can recognize mindfulness-Dolphins (Langer, 2014)
- Mindfulness affects the way children perceive themselves-Camp Study (Langer, Cohen, and Djikic, 2012)
- Mindfulness is empowering- Dog Toy (Langer & Piper, 1987)







Cohen 53 Natural Senses

Sense of time Appetite and hunger Sense of temperature and temperature change Sense of season Humidity sense – acumen to find water, avoid flooding Hearing, resonance Sense of one's visibility Proximity Fear Play Emotional belonging, sense of community Tacit knowing / foreknowledge Conscious capacity for love, sublime, sorrow, spiritual Sixth sense

Mindfulness Interventions and Environmental Interpretation (Dussler & Deringer 2018)

The purpose of this study is to understand the experience of environmental interpreters participating in mindfulnessbased interventions as it relates to nature connectedness and ecological behavior.

Assumptions:

- that interpreters practicing mindfulness will experience greater awareness and connection to nature
- Mindful interpretation is beneficial for participants' development of nature connection and meaning



Interpretation

Defined as a mission-based communication process that forges emotional and intellectual connections between the interests of the audience and meanings inherent in the resource.

-National Association for Interpretation



Mindful Interventions

- Noticing Walks / Expanding Awareness
- Nature Sketching
- Moving Closer Kayaking
- Moving Closer Still Snorkeling
- Solo Experience
- Story of the Day
- Focus Group
- Journaling
- Mindful Meditation



Mindful Connections to Nature

Where the path closed down and over, through the scumbled leaves, fallen branches. through the knotted catbrier, I kept going. Finally I could not save my arms from thorns; soon the mosquitoes smelled me, hot and wounded, and came wheeling and whining.

And that's how I came to the edge of the pond: black and empty except for a spindle of bleached reeds at the far shore which, as I looked, wrinkled suddenly into three egrets - - a shower of white fire! Even half-asleep they had such faith in the world

that had made them - - tilting through the water, unruffled, sure, by the laws of their faith not logic, they opened their wings softly and stepped over every dark thing. - Mary Oliver - Egrets





Preliminary Themes and Questions

- Difficulty in connecting with nature (Spring Lake) while busy working, and leading tours
- Need for personal time and slower pace to connect and notice
- Reflecting on experience and sharing helpful in making sense of mindful engagement
- Easier to notice (be mindful?) when experience is immersive and new (snorkeling)
- Children may need more noticing skills - balance of structure and wandering





Many great American conservationists have speculated that if humans had a closer connection with natural spaces, they would not be so willing to damage and destroy them (Leopold, 1949; Muir, 1912).

This work is about fostering connection through mindful interaction with nature.

Reflections

Think of outdoor space that has meaning to you. What are some elements of the place? What makes it special? What parts of the place did you connect with?



What did you have to do to know your place?



Call to Action

- As the children in nature movement continues to grow and gain momentum there are an increasing number of opportunities, and need, to greater understand nature connection through research. What are you curious about? What exciting things are happening at your nature center, in your nature experiences and programming? How can you go deeper through research- either digging into current literature or designing a study to employ at your program?
- We want to begin the conversation on research and data hubs and discuss the different ways we can collaborate as educators and researchers in our field.



THANK YOU

Visit www.explorespringlake.org for more info.



THE MEADOWS CENTER FOR WATER AND THE ENVIRONMENT

TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

The Meadows Center for Water and the Environment 201 San Marcos Springs Drive | San Marcos, TX. 78666 Ph. 512.249.9200 | <u>meadowscenter@txstate.edu</u> EXPLORE SPRING LAKE.ORG