

Christopher Evans

Title: Partner/Attorney at Shore Chan DePumpo LLP

Abstract: The decisions you make when applying for a patent determine whether your patent is enforceable or merely wall art. This presentation will identify some of the issues that can impact your patent's value and provide examples of how those issues will later impact your ability to enforce your patent. The goal of my presentation is to provide you with some context to help you make decisions during patent prosecution that will make your patent more valuable.



## **CHRISTOPHER L. EVANS**

Mr. Evans's practice primarily involves representing universities and R&D centers in their patent licensing and patent litigation efforts.

Mr. Evans received a B.S. in industrial engineering from the Pennsylvania State University in 2003 and his J.D. from Southern Methodist University in 2008, where he graduated magna cum laude and was invited into the Order of the Coif. In law school, Mr. Evans served as an Articles Editor for the SMU Law Review Association and also completed an externship with the Honorable A. Joe Fish, Chief District Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas.

Before joining Shore Chan DePumpo LLP, Mr. Evans was an associate at Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP in Dallas. Mr. Evans's prior work experience includes working for American Airlines and JELD-WEN as an industrial engineer.

### **Education**

Southern Methodist University, Dedman School of Law

- JD, magna cum laude, *SMU Law Review* (articles editor) (2008)

Pennsylvania State University

- B.S., Industrial Engineering (2003)

### **Representative Matters**

*Trustees of Boston University v. Everlight Electronics Co., Ltd., et al* (D. Mass.)

Represents Boston University in a patent infringement action involving GaN LEDs. In November the jury returned a \$13.65 million dollar verdict for Boston University finding the patent willfully infringed and not invalid.

*Advanced Data Access LLC v. Nanya Corp. et al.* (E.D. Tex.)

Represented Nanya in a patent infringement action involving DRAM brought by an Acacia subsidiary. The case settled shortly after the *Markman* hearing.

*Xicor LLC v. Silicon Storage Technology Inc. et al.* (California Superior Court)

Represented Xicor LLC in a case over trade secret misappropriation of a semiconductor manufacturing processes and other business-related torts. The case settled shortly after discovery began.

### **Publications and Speaking Engagements**

Speaker, Annual IP Symposium, Indiana University McKinney School of Law, Indianapolis, Indiana, "Presenting Scientific Data at Trial," April 7, 2016.